Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Summary

Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview & Summary Information

Date Investment First Submitted: 2011-09-16
Date of Last Change to Activities: 2012-06-18
Investment Auto Submission Date: 2012-02-22
Date of Last Investment Detail Update: 2012-02-22
Date of Last Exhibit 300A Update: 2012-04-11

Date of Last Revision: 2012-07-30

Agency: 023 - General Services Administration **Bureau:** 30 - General Activities

Investment Part Code: 01

Investment Category: 00 - Agency Investments

1. Name of this Investment: USAspending.gov

2. Unique Investment Identifier (UII): 023-000003303

Section B: Investment Detail

1. Provide a brief summary of the investment, including a brief description of the related benefit to the mission delivery and management support areas, and the primary beneficiary(ies) of the investment. Include an explanation of any dependencies between this investment and other investments.

USASpending.gov was launched in December, 2007, in response to the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) which became law in September, 2006. FFATA directed that a free, single, searchable website be available to the public and described the type of information which must be include with each award (e.g. unique name, award amount, description) and USASpending.gov fulfills this requirement. USASpending.gov contains data on federal obligations for contracts and first-tier subcontracts, grants, and first-tier subgrants, loans, direct payments, insurance, and other forms of financial assistance. USASpending is closely aligned with GSA s mission to foster an effective, sustainable, and transparent government for the American people. An individual can easily perform searches to discover how much government money has been spent in a particular state or a specific time period and could also isolate particular types of government spending, as desired. USASpending obtains data from multiple external sources (e.g. FPDS-NG, CCR, CFDA, FSRS, DUNS) and it is dependent upon the continued operation of those systems.

2. How does this investment close in part or in whole any identified performance gap in support of the mission delivery and management support areas? Include an

assessment of the program impact if this investment isn't fully funded.

This investment has closed many of the performance gaps identified in GAO s report 10-365, which was issued in March, 2010. One of the audit findings was that OMB had no plan for collecting and reporting of subaward data. This plan was implemented on August 27, 2010, by issuing the Open Government Directive - Federal Spending Transparency and Subaward and Compensation Data Reporting. The necessary changes were made to the USASpending.gov website and the required subaward data was collected and became publically-available on October 1, 2010. If this investment is not fully funded, compliance with FFATA is likely to be jeopardized and any subsequent GAO audit is likely to produce negative results.

3. Provide a list of this investment's accomplishments in the prior year (PY), including projects or useful components/project segments completed, new functionality added, or operational efficiency achieved.

During FY 2011, USASpending.gov was updated with many new features and functionality. Some of the new features are interactive searches, comparison of spending across agencies, and interactive maps. The available information has been expanded and includes sub-award recipients, some purchase card data, executive compensation, and four additional socio-economic categories for woman-owned small businesses.

4. Provide a list of planned accomplishments for current year (CY) and budget year (BY).

During FY 2012, the hosting of USASpending.gov and related operation is planned to be integrated within the Federal Procurement Data System (FPDS). Since FPDS currently fulfills only a portion of FFATA requirements, it must first be expanded to include the remaining data segments (i.e. grants, loans, direct payments, insurance, and others financial assistance). If this expansion of FPDS can be accomplished, the partial integration of the two systems is expected to yield significant cost savings. Each system is currently supported by a separate cractor with unique contract deliverables, personnel, security protocols, hosting environments, etc. The resulting reduction or elimination of the related functions for each system is likely to generate economies of scale and eliminate redundancy, without a significant impact to the public.

5. Provide the date of the Charter establishing the required Integrated Program Team (IPT) for this investment. An IPT must always include, but is not limited to: a qualified fully-dedicated IT program manager, a contract specialist, an information technology specialist, a security specialist and a business process owner before OMB will approve this program investment budget. IT Program Manager, Business Process Owner and Contract Specialist must be Government Employees.

2010-12-15

Section C: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)

1.

Table I.C.1 Summary of Funding									
	PY-1	PY	CY	BY					
	& Prior	2011	2012	2013					
Planning Costs:	\$0.1	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0					
DME (Excluding Planning) Costs:	\$8.9	\$1.0	\$0.5	\$0.4					
DME (Including Planning) Govt. FTEs:	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0					
Sub-Total DME (Including Govt. FTE):	\$9.0	\$1.0	\$0.5	\$0.4					
O & M Costs:	\$3.1	\$2.0	\$2.0	\$1.1					
O & M Govt. FTEs:	\$0.0	\$0.3	\$0.2	\$0.2					
Sub-Total O & M Costs (Including Govt. FTE):	\$3.1	\$2.3	\$2.2	\$1.3					
Total Cost (Including Govt. FTE):	\$12.1	\$3.3	\$2.7	\$1.7					
Total Govt. FTE costs:	0	\$0.3	\$0.2	\$0.2					
# of FTE rep by costs:	5	3	2	2					
Total change from prior year final President's Budget (\$)		\$0.0	\$0.4						
Total change from prior year final President's Budget (%)		1.20%	15.40%						

2. If the funding levels have changed from the FY 2012 President's Budget request for PY or CY, briefly explain those changes:

Majority of DME work has been reduced or eliminated because of budget cuts. Also, beginning in 2012, the investment has been split into three separate segments. Previously, USASpending, IT Dashboard, and Performance Dashboards were combined into one investment.

Section D: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

	Table I.D.1 Contracts and Acquisition Strategy										
Contract Type	EVM Required	Agency ID	Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)	Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	IDV Agency ID	Solicitation ID	Ultimate Contract Value (\$M)	Туре	PBSA ?	Effective Date	Actual or Expected End Date
Awarded	4745	GSV0008PD02 10	GS35F0623N	4705							
Awarded	4745	GSI0010AA006 2	GS35F0623N	4745							
Awarded	4705	GS-00I-11-AA- C-0111 Mod PS-02									

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

Earned value is not a requirement since these are firm fixed price contracts based on technical support services or license purchase.

Page 5 / 7 of Section300 Date of Last Revision: 2012-07-30 Exhibit 300 (2011)

Exhibit 300B: Performance Measurement Report

Section A: General Information

Date of Last Change to Activities: 2012-06-18

Section B: Pro	ject Execution Data
----------------	---------------------

Table II.B.1 Projects									
Project ID	Project Name	Project Description	Project Start Date	Project Completion Date	Project Lifecycle Cost (\$M)				
12	FY 12	Fiscal Year 2012 USASpending DME only.							
	Activity Summary								

Roll-up of Information Provided in Lowest Level Child Activities

Project ID	Name	Total Cost of Project Activities (\$M)	End Point Schedule Variance (in days)	End Point Schedule Variance (%)	Cost Variance (\$M)	Cost Variance (%)	Total Planned Cost (\$M)	Count of Activities
------------	------	--	---	------------------------------------	------------------------	----------------------	-----------------------------	------------------------

12 FY 12

Key Deliverables								
Project Name	Activity Name	Description	Planned Completion Date	Projected Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Duration (in days)	Schedule Variance (in days)	Schedule Variance (%)

NONE

Page 6 / 7 of Section300 Date of Last Revision: 2012-07-30 Exhibit 300 (2011)

Section C: Operational Data

Table II.C.1 Performance Metrics									
Metric Description	Unit of Measure	FEA Performance Measurement Category Mapping	Measurement Condition	Baseline	Target for PY	Actual for PY	Target for CY	Reporting Frequency	
Reduce time to respond; respond to 90% of the comments received.	Time to respond to comments.	Customer Results - Customer Benefit	Over target	5.000000	5.000000	4.000000	2.000000	Semi-Annual	
Of the comments received at the USAspending.gov website, the majority are suggestions or positive comments.	Percent positive comments, or suggestion	Customer Results - Customer Benefit	Over target	60.000000	65.000000	60.000000	70.000000	Semi-Annual	
The website is more usable by improving source data through the data improvement process.	Days to correct data in source systems	Process and Activities - Quality	Over target	180.000000	180.000000	120.000000	90.000000	Semi-Annual	
Improve timeliness and availability of current information - decrease the time to post grant and other non-contract transactions.	Days to post transaction	Process and Activities - Cycle Time and Timeliness	Over target	60.000000	60.000000	60.000000	55.000000	Semi-Annual	
Accurately record and analyze the contractor's monthly costs and evaluate the level of progress that has been made.	Dollars expended	Process and Activities - Financial	Under target	145.000000	145.000000	145.000000	145.000000	Monthly	